What is ethical

What is a moral

naturalism?

realist?

What is

mean by

What is

What is

Intuitionism

cognitivism?

What do we

empirically?

naturalism?

absolutism?

What is intuitionism?

Who is the main scholar

What is the naturalistic

How does it recognise

What is a simple idea?

What is a complex idea?

for intuitionism?

fallacy?

goodness?

actually exist

pure logic

the natural world

closely connected.

evident

G.E Moore

ject:	R	E

	Subject:	ŀ
OOL		

ī.,	Subject:
oot	

The belief moral statements are

by means of observation or

The idea moral values can be

subject to either being truth or false

experience rather than theory or

correctly defined by observation of

The idea of fixed rules; It is not the

The idea that moral truths

The idea it is a mistake to

define moral terms with

reference to other non-

moral or natural terms

'goodness' is recognised

An idea that cannot be

broken into parts, e.g. the

An idea that can be broken

down into parts, e.g; a horse

through intuition

colour red

Not through empirical facts;

are indefinable and self-

same as naturalism but they are

Moral truths can be discovered by

observation of the world

The idea moral facts and truth

**Topic: Meta Ethics** 

What is non-

What is the

verification

thinker for

emotivism?

**Layers of Ethics** 

ethics?

ethics?

ethics?

2

3

Who is the key

What is evince?

What is meta ethics?

What is normative

What is descriptive

Define the 'what is

question concept

Define the 'what is

good' is not a key

ethics question

good' is a key

What is applied

principle?

**Emotivism** 

cognitivism? What is logical positivism?

What is emotivism?

The belief moral statements are not subject to truth or falsity

A.J Ayer

concepts

cultures

What is 'goodness' what do people mean when they use this term? Do right or wrong actually mean anything? Are they meaningless? This is the heart of Meta-Ethics. The topic explores what lies beneath the ethical and moral language we use and discusses the core issues. Meta ethics asks questions about the game itself, not how we play them.

most relevant

cannot be answered

we ought to behave

Discuss specific issues of ethics

An idea developed by the Vienna Circle, it

considers if philosophical analysis can determine if something is meaningful. Associated with Hume,

Moral statements are not statements of

fact, but are indications of emotional states

Considers ethical theories that advise how

Explored different ethical views and varied

The idea meta ethical questions are the

not the most important as There is no

objective moral truth or the question

Statements are only meaningful is they analytic statements or synthetic statements

Moral realism

Year Group: 13

**Key Vocabulary** 

Meta-ethics

Normative

Naturalism

Cognitivism

**Emotivism** 

Non-

Logical

positivism

cognitivism

ethics

The belief that moral statements are subject to being either true or false Thee idea that moral truths are indefinable and The idea that it is a

The idea that moral

statements are not

are indicators of

emotional states

The belief that moral

subject to truth or falsity

Developed by the Vienna

philosophical analysis to

be the way to determine

statements are not

circle. Considers

whether an idea is

meaningful

statements of fact, but

Means above and beyond.

Study of ethical concepts

Theories of ethics that

given advice on how we ought to behave

Moral values can defined

by the observation of the

The belief that right or

they are real properties

wrong actually exists;

natural world

## Ayer's way of explaining how ethical Intuitivism statements may show an emotional state, we may not actually feel the way our words self-evident indicate. **Naturalistic** fallacy mistake to define moral terms with reference to From the Greek meta meaning above and other non-moral or beyond. It's the study of the meaning of natural terms.

Be	Subject: RE	Retriev	val Qı	uiz: Meta Ethics	Year Group: 13	enjoy learned succeed
Et	hical naturalism		Emo	otivism	Key Vocabular	у
1	What is ethical naturalism?		I	What is emotivism?	Meta-ethics	
			2	What is non-cognitivism?	Normative ethics	
2	What is a moral realist?					
3	What is cognitivism?			What is logical positivism?	Naturalism	
				What is the verification	Moral realism	
4	What do we mean by empirically?			principle?		
5	What is naturalism?			Who is the key thinker for emotivism?	Cognitivism	
6	What is absolutism?		6	What is evince?	Intuitivism	
l	4		Low	our of Eshion	IIItuitivisiii	
ın	tuitivism		Laye	ers of Ethics		
ı	What is intuitivism?		1	What is meta ethics?	Naturalistic fallacy	
2	Who is the main scholar for intuitivism?		2	What is normative ethics?	,	
3	What is the naturalistic				Emotivism	
J	fallacy?		3	What is applied ethics?		
4	How does it recognise				Non-	
	goodness?		4	What is descriptive ethics?	cognitivism	
5	What is a simple idea?					
			5	Define the 'what is good' is a key question concept	Logical positivism	
6	What is a complex idea?		6	Define the 'what is good' is not a key ethics question		
						1 "

# Year 2: meta ethical theories

Meta-ethics	Means above and beyond. Study of ethical concepts	believes moral truths can be discovered by
Normative ethics	Theories of ethics that given advice on how we ought to behave	observations of the world. It is a moral realist
Naturalism	Moral values can defined by the observation of the natural world	theory and cognitivist,
Moral realism	The belief that right or wrong actually exists; they are real properties	believing statements made about right or
Cognitivism	The belief that moral statements are subject to being either true or false	wrong are either true or false.
Intuitivism	Thee idea that moral truths are indefinable and self-evident	G. E. Moore:  Naturalistic
Naturalistic	The idea that it is a mistake to define moral	We don't re     Simple idea

terms with reference to other non-moral or

The idea that moral statements are not

statements of fact, but are indicators of

The belief that moral statements are not

Developed by the Vienna circle. Considers

determine whether an idea is meaningful

philosophical analysis to be the way to

# Intuitionism Whether or not what is meant by 'good' is the defining question in the study of ethics

Intuitionism

|--|

## What it is and its application to absolutism Naturalism

What it is and its application to thee term 'good'

**Emotivism** 

What it is and its application to relativism

Whether or not ethical terms such as good, bad, right or wrong: Have a objective factual basis

- Reflect only what is in the mind of the person using such terms
- Can be said to be meaningful or meaningless

Whether or not, from a common sense approach, people just know within themselves what is good, bad, right and wrong.

are meaningful.

Versions:

- c fallacy is the key error of naturalism
- ecognise good through empirical facts
- Simple ideas: cannot be divided into parts
- Complex ideas: can be broken down







given by God, moral values can be worked out by understanding God. F.H. Bradley- understand our moral duties by. Observing our position in life. Certain rules

Ethical naturalism: what is right or wrong can be

established by looking at the world around us. Moral

truths are cognitivist. Naturalists believe ethical terms

Aquinas- theological naturalism. The has been

also have moral values attached to them. Utilitarianism- argue that we discover right

and wrong by discovering what actions lead to pleasure and pain. We can infer what is right

and wrong through observing an action. Naturalism and absolutism: Strong link. It can be argued by discovering moral

values we create absolute rules on right. And wrong.

There are no objective values.

J. L. Mackie

**Key word** 

fallacy

**Emotivism** 

Non-cognitivism

Logical positivism

**Definition** 

natural terms.

emotional states

subject to truth or falsity

Hume: objects naturalism through his 'ought to' problem. He says no

matter how closely we examine the situation itself we will not be

able to empirically see or hear the 'wrongness of an action'.

- Moral realism: Shared moral values: broad agreement of shared moral
- values. 2. Moral progress: we have made considerable progress in our attitude to topics such as racism. The need for a standard: if there
- 3. is no objective right and wrong then there can be no absolute standard.

Emotivism: believes that there is no moral truths: moral statements are based on feeling.

Vienna circle on verification: they are logical positivists they suggest:

- Analytical statements
- Synthetic statements.

Prescriptivism: R.M. Hare argues that when we make moral statements we are not just expressing feelings, we are prescribing them to others.

Error theory: J.L Mackie. Argued that there are no moral facts, merely subjective values. When we make moral statements, we speak as though. Statements we make are actually true or false. We are in error.

Ayer: agreed with the verification principle. It is important to look at what ethical statements are rather than if they are meaningful. This means looking at 'right' and 'wrong'. Ethical statements show emotions. they don't add things but simply express approval or disapproval.