

Subject: RE

Topic: A posteriori arguments for God



Year Group: 12

Ro	Reckfoot										
A	quinas' Tel	eological Argument	Α	quinas' Cos	smological Arguments	Key Vocabul	ary				
I	The Fifth Way: Observa tions	 By design (intention), all things aim for their purpose Most things do not know their own purpose as they lack intelligence to know this 	1 2 3	The First Way	and change and something must have made this motion. Things go from potential to actual.	Analogy	Comparison between two similar things				
						A posteriori	Knowledge from experience				
2	Conclusi	 There is an intelligent being that directs all natural things to their end This is God. God governs the world 		The Second Way	The uncaused causer: every effect has a cause.	A priori	Knowledge from reason				
	ons:					Contingent	Objects that rely on an external source/ cause to exist				
				Third Way	y Contingent beings rely on something else for their existence						
3	Analogy ?	The archer guides an arrow to the bullseye and God guides natural bodies to their goal.	4	What does this show about God	motion, cause and contingency so	Cosmology	Study of the universe and its causes				
		-			uncaused causer and necessary God	Cosmologica l argument	Arguing that God exists based on causation (cause and effect)				
Pa I	lley's Teleo	logical Argument Scientists (Isaac Newton) +	Hu	ıme's Chall							
	S	Aquinas.	I	Comparis on to	Why would an infinite and immaterial God make a finite world?	Empiricism	Learning from experience				
2	Observat	• The world is regular e.g.		God?		Evolution	Scientific theory that				
	ions	 seasons Purpose – eyes and birds' wings show design for a purpose 	2	Designer s?	How can we disregard there being a team of designers, multiple designs of the world and a bad design for the world?	Evolution	species have evolved over time by natural selection				
3	Analogy?	A watch is designed to achieve its purpose but this cannot have come by chance. The world is even more complex than a watch and so must have a designer; God. Even if the watch is broken, we can still learn about the designer and recognise	3	Perfect?	Even if the creation is perfect, we cannot be sure the designer is perfect	Fifth way	Aquinas' teleological argument				
			4	Fallacy of composit ion?	Cosmological arguments assume that we can explain the cause of all causes, because	Logical fallacy	Incorrect logic and inferences				
			5	Leap?	ve can explain all causes is a leap of faith to go from there being	Necessary	Opposite of contingent				
		their skills.			causation to a first cause.	Teleological	Arguing that God exists				
\sim		This philosophy unit aims to explore whether belief			A02 Discussion/ Comparisons	arguments	because of design				
		in God is rational and empirical. This unit contrasts with a priori arguments for God's existence and links to the philosophy unit, Nature of God.			 A posteriori vs a priori arguments Cosmological vs teleological Scientific theories (Big Bang, evolution) 	Transcenden t creator	God who designed and made the world.				
	to the philosophy dhit, Nature of 600.										

Reckfoot Aquinas' T	Subject: RE	Topic: A
I The Fifth Way: Observa tions		
2 Conclus ons:	i	
3 Analogy ?		
Paley's Tel	eological Argument	

Year Group: 12



Aquinas' Teleological Argument			luinas' Cosm	ological Arguments	Key Vocabulary
I	The Fifth Way:	I	The First Way		Analogy
	Observa tions	2	The Second Way		A posteriori
					A priori
2	Conclusi	3	Third Way		Contingent
	ons:		What does this show		Cosmology
3	Analogy ?		about God?		Cosmological argument
Paley's Teleological Argument			me's Challer	nges	
I	Influence	I	Comparis	Empiricism	
	s		on to God?		Evolution
2	Observat ions	2	Designer s?		
				Fifth way	
3	Analogy?	3	Perfect?		Logical fallacy
		4	Fallacy of		Necessary
			composit ion?		Teleological arguments
			Leap?		Transcenden t creator